

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/606,436	06/25/2003	Steven M. Burns	085.10940-US (03-325)	6928
34704 BACHMAN &	7590 08/23/2010 & LAPOINTE, P.C.	EXAMINER		
900 CHAPEL		IP, SIKYIN		
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN	J. CT 06510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/606,436	BURNS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sikyin Ip	1793		

\$	Sikyin Ip	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods: 	plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit I (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shat forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later things reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but They raise new issues that would require further const They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	ideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	r form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	e issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co	rroopending number of finally reig	ated alaims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	rresponding number of finally reje	cteu ciairis.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>34-47</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be affidavit or other evidence.	pefore or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered because:	ed but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	illowance
See Continuation Sheet.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

/Sikyin Ip/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons set forth in the prior office action. Applicant's argument with respect to 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection is noted. But, it is unclear where the workpiece(s) is/are in the furnace chamber. Applicant's argument with respect of cleaning furnace chamber without workpiece is noted. But, it is contemplated within ambit of ordinary skill artisan to avoid contamination of workpiece. Applicant's argument with respect to 'center of location' is noted. Examiner reiterates the response in the 55 U.S.C. 112 above. Moreover, cleaning furnace chamber includes center of said chamber. Applicant's argument with respect to Figures 2 to 4 of instant drawings is noted. But, applicant failed to explain how the Figures improve over the cited prior arts.